

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 01/30/2003

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	09/148,474	09/08/1998	EIJI TAKASU		3424
7590 01/30/2003					
	FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO			EXAMINER	
	30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 101123801		PAULA, CESAR B		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2178	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/148,474	TAKASU ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	CESAR B PAULA	2178					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 N							
<i>,</i>	is action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accept	oted or b) objected to by the Exa	miner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		oved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 2178

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

1. This action is responsive to the amendment filed on 11/20/2002.

This action is made Final.

- 2. In the amendment, claims 1-31 are pending in the case. Claims 1, 10, 14, 26, and 30-31 are independent claims.
- 3. The rejections of claims 1-31 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mosher in view of Forcier (Pat. # 5,590,257, 12/31/96), further in view of Linking Handwriting Annotation with Text, IBM TDB, vol.32, No.6A, pp.452-454, 11/1989 have been withdrawn as necessitated by the amendment.

Priority

4. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), and based on application # 9-243,991 filed in Japan on 9/9/1997, which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

g`

Art Unit: 2178

6. Claims 1-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mosher view of Linking Handwriting Annotation with Text, IBM TDB, vol.32, No.6A, pp.452-454, 11/1989, and further in view of Forcier (Pat. # 5,590,257, 12/31/96).

Page 3

Regarding independent claim 1, Mosher discloses: storing a received mail document -"You can save messages" (p.1). Mosher fails to explicitly teach ink data overlaid on a text
image ... a reproduction position of the ink image being defined by a coordinate value on
reference coordinate axes of the received mail document. However, IBM teaches the overlaying
of ink over text data (p.1, and fig.1). Forcier teaches the definition, or identification of ink image
text in terms of relative, and actual X, Y, document coordinates (c.21,L.31-67). It would have
been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have combined the
email system of Mosher, the overlaying of ink data and text data of IBM, and Forcier, because
IBM teaches preserving the correspondence between text and ink annotation (p.1,L.10-14), and
Forcier teaches above the anchoring of ink data.

Moreover, Mosher discloses: inserting a character string to email text data when a new email is prepared -- "Figure 12.9 When you reply to a message....indenting the message text and including header" (p.4, and 7). Mosher fails to explicitly teach calculating a shift amount of an the reproduction position of the ink image.... and outputting, as the new document, the ink image which is overlaid on the new text image ... the ink image being shifted according to the calculated shift amount. However, IBM teaches the moving text and handwritten together by a predetermined shift amount (p.1, lines 10-29). Forcier teaches pushing or shifting down of data, consisting of text/ink image, based on the input of preceding document data (c.10,L.35-c.11,L.67). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the

Art Unit: 2178

invention to have combined the email system of Mosher, the overlaying of ink data and text data of IBM, and Forcier, because IBM teaches preserving the correspondence between text and ink annotation (p.1,L.10-14), and Forcier teaches above ensuring that data stays together.

Regarding claim 2, which depends on claim 1, Mosher discloses: "Figure 12.9 When you reply to a message....indenting the message text and including header" (p.4). Mosher fails to explicitly teach the ink data comprises locus information to define the output position by coordinate values. However, IBM teaches the moving text and handwritten together by a predetermined shift amount (p.1, lines 10-29). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have combined shifting of email messages by Mosher, and the locus information of ink/ASCII editing system by moving/shifting (locus x-y placement of ink data), and maintaining the pre-existing word spacing in the characters as taught by IBM, because IBM teaches preserving the correspondence between text and ink annotation (p.1,L.10-14).

Regarding claim 3, which depends on claim 1, Mosher discloses: "...how much text of the incoming message to quote" (p.3). Mosher fails to explicitly disclose:said character string to be inserted is a quotation symbol. However, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have included the quotation symbol, because Mosher teaches above, quoting text in a reply email message.

Regarding claim 4, which depends on claim 1, Mosher discloses: "...how much text of the incoming message to quote" (p.3). Mosher fails to explicitly teach *said character string to be inserted is an inserting comment text*. However, Forcier teaches: "performing word editing functions such as word wrap while maintaining the user's word spacing", and "Typical users of

Art Unit: 2178

the invention would be people who want to jot down notes with/without drawings" (col. 3, lines 3-67, and col. 5, lines 27-67). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have combined shifting of email messages by Mosher, and the jotting notes with ink/ASCII editing system to maintain word spacing taught by Forcier, because Forcier teaches above the entering of data into a textual document without having to perform explicit actions to acquire additional blank spaces, and quickly annotating a document.

Claims 5-9 are directed towards a method for implementing the steps found in claim 1, 1, 6, and are similarly rejected.

Claims 10-11 are directed towards a method for implementing the steps found in claims 1-2, and 1 respectively, and are similarly rejected.

Claims 12-15, 17-22 are directed towards an information processing apparatus for implementing the steps found in claims (1-2), 2, and 1-2, and 4-9 respectively, and are similarly rejected.

Claim 16 is directed towards an information processing apparatus for implementing the steps found in claim 3, and is similarly rejected.

Regarding claim 23, which depends on claim 14, Mosher discloses: *output means is an inkjet printer*— (p.9). Mosher teaches use of a print file icon to print the email in a printer such as an inkjet printer.

Claims 24-29 are directed towards an information processing apparatus for implementing the steps found in claims 23, 1, 10-13 respectively, and are similarly rejected.

Claims 30-31 are directed towards a storage medium for storing instructions for implementing the steps found in claims 1, and 10 respectively, and are similarly rejected.

Art Unit: 2178

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 11/20/02 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The Applicants submit that the applied art does not disclose or suggest the calculation of a shift amount as well as an output image according to the calculated amount (p.11, L. 1-20). The Applicants are directed towards the newly crafted rejections above as necessitated by this amendment.

Conclusion

8. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 09/148,474

Art Unit: 2178

I. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Forcier (Pat. # 6,487,570).

II. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cesar B. Paula whose telephone number is (703) 306-5543. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. (EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather Herndon, can be reached on (703) 308-5186. However, in such a case, please allow at least one business day.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Any response to this Action should be mailed to:

Director United States Patent and Trademark Office Washington, D.C. 20231

Or faxed to:

- (703) 746-7238, (for After Final communications intended for entry)
- (703) 746-7239, (for Formal communications intended for entry, except formal After Final communications)

Or:

(703) 746-7240, (for Informal or Draft communications for discussion only, please label
 "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT").

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive,

Page 8

Application/Control Number: 09/148,474

Art Unit: 2178

Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

CBP

1/16/03

ETERMENS MOUSE